



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,141	08/29/2003	Jonathan H. Hurd	HURD-P01	1173
49142	7590	03/26/2007	EXAMINER	
SCHOX PLC			MAI, TRI M	
209 N. MAIN STREET #200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104			3781	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,141	HURD, JONATHAN H.
	Examiner Tri M. Mai	Art Unit 3781

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 10-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 10-16 and 27-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: ____.

1. Claims 17-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/18/2006.

Applicant traverses that since there are 3 Office Action had been issued and the Examiner should not issue the requirement for Restriction/Election. This is not persuasive.

35 U.S.C. 121.

"If two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Director may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions."

MPEP 803 [R-3] Restriction — When Proper

Under the statute, the claims of an application may properly be required to be restricted to one of two or more claimed inventions only if they are able to support separate patents and they are either independent (MPEP § 802.01, § 806.06, and § 808.01<) or distinct (MPEP § 806.05 - § 806.05(j)<).

The examiner submits that: 1) There are two set of independent claims and there are no generic claims as compared to at least claim 1 being generic as submitted in the initial filing. Thus, the restriction is proper as required by 35USC 121, 2) the present claims are not the same claims as submitted prior to the examiner, 3) Applicant amended and adding additional claims that impose on a serious burden of search on the examiner. When applicant amends or adds new claims, the examiner is required to search each and every limitation of all amended and new claims, e.g., on the new claims 32 and 33. There are no claims in the previous versions set forth the arm being located on the exterior of the container. Thus, the examiner must conduct a new search, including electronic search, word search, etc. so that this new limitation can be properly considered and patentability can be determined, and 4) The MPEP stated that the Restriction is

proper when one of two or more claimed inventions only if they are able to support separate patents and they are either independent, and this is the case in this instant.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 10-16, 24, and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Wilding (6464277). Wilding teaches a cargo system having a container bottom surface having a first mount 34 adapted to cradle the first bar and a second mount 46 adapted to cradle the second bar (note that there is another portion 46 on the other side). Wherein pivotal movement of the arms from the open position to the closed position causes movement of the second mount in engaged and disengaged positions as claimed. Note that this functionality does not impart any structure over the device in Wilding since the two locking structure 44 on the two sides and engage and disengage on the two sides as claimed.

Note that the second left grip and second right grip are selectively fastenable to the arm at multiple angles to the arms. Note the various angle 44 that can be locked relative to the grip portion 46.

Regarding claim 27, note that the second mount is always coupled to the arm.

Regarding claim 28, note the latch at 124 locking the arm.

3. Claims 25, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T.M.

TRI M. MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

T.M.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781

t.m.